No. 558.

Motion by D. C. to Dismiss or Affirm.

FILED.
JAN 17 1893
JAMES H. McKENNEY,
CLERK.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

IN THE SUPREME COURT.

JAMES W. WILSON, PLAINTIFF IN ERROR,

versus

THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA, ON THE RELATION OF L. C. CALDWELL, DEFENDANT IN ERROR.

Comes now the defendant in error by its attorneys, Jas. C. MacRae, W. H. Day and A. C. Avery, and moves the Court to dismiss the appeal in the above entitled cause for want of jurisdiction, because the judgment upon which the said writ of error was allowed is the judgment of the Supreme Court of the State of North Carolina.

And the said defendant in error, by counsel as aforesaid, also moves to affirm the said judgment because, although the record in the said cause may show that this Court has jurisdiction in the premises, yet it is manifest that said appeal is frivolous and was taken for delay only.

Jas C MacRae
W H Day
A C Avery

Counsel for the Defendant in Error
for the purposes of these motions.

No. 559.
Mo. to Dismiss or Affirm

ffice Supreme Court U. S.
FILED.
JAN 17 1898
JAMES H. McKENNEY,
CLERK.

No. 559.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

IN THE SUPREME COURT.

S. OTHO WILSON, PLAINTIFF IN ERROR,

versus

THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA, BY Z. V. WALSER, ATTORNEY-GENERAL, ON THE RELATION OF JOHN H. PEARSON, DEFENDANT IN ERROR.

Comes now the defendant in error by its attorneys, Jas. C. MacRae, W. H. Day and A. C. Avery, and moves the Court to dismiss the appeal in the above entitled cause for want of jurisdiction, because the judgment upon which the said writ of error was allowed is the judgment of the Supreme Court of the State of North Carolina.

And the said defendant in error, by counsel as aforesaid, also moves to affirm the said judgment because, although the record in the said cause may show that this Court has jurisdiction in the premises, yet it is manifest that said appeal is frivolous and was taken for delay only.

J. C. MacRae
W. H. Day
A. C. Avery

Counsel for the Defendant in Error for the purposes of these motions.